

Andrea Gyger

From: Diane Fusaro [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, June 22, 2019 10:09 AM
To: SoS Rulemaking
Subject: Comments - working draft Election rules

Dear Secretary of State,

Thank you for asking me to comment on election changes. The following are my specific comments.

7.1.2, Lines 36-38

What is a signature card form, and why does it need to be submitted with election plans? It is unclear why this is necessary. What are the consequences of not submitting the signature card form? Does this improve security in any way?

7.1.4

Why would the State NOT require a security plan, especially in light of foreign interference and mass shootings? There should be security plans to protect the voting process and the voters.

7.5.1

(a) Does not define the monitoring? Is video surveillance adequate? Is this stating that someone must be monitoring the surveillance at all times? Unclear.

11.2.1, Lines 2-4

Why would the State delete requirements to report service, repair, and maintenance work on voting hardware and/or software? This information would be invaluable in case of an election breach. In addition, the information could provide an unexpected "service" pattern, which might alert the State of a possible breach.

11.3.2

(a) Does 29 days provide enough time to identify and repair problems?

11.4.5, Lines 11-12

"Secured" is not defined. A stand-alone, non-networked or non-internet accessed server is the MOST secure system for electronic storage. This type of storage system should be considered for information about voters as well as election results. In fact, it should be considered for all sensitive citizen data.

20.2.4, Lines 29-33

Why would this be deleted? The software vendors for elections should be vetted and approved.

20.6.1, Lines 11-15

(a) Why not specify the frequency of password changes, which frankly should be more often in light of voting interference.

(b) Why strike this requirement which outlines some specific pre-voting password security measures? If anything, this section should be beefed up to increase security.

21.3.3 Deleted

Who now bears the cost of changes if not the manufacturer? The State?

I appreciate the opportunity to make comments to your changes. My biggest concern with voting is security. The State should consider "stand alone" electronic voting servers and/or paper ballots to ensure real

election security. As I read this document, I realized that the voting is all interconnected through a State system. What has the State done to expand the electronic security of such a system? Any networked system can be hacked.

Thank you.

A. Diane Fusaro

Timnath, Colorado