

From: Julie Earp
To: [SoS Rulemaking](#)
Subject: Comments - working draft notary rules
Date: Monday, March 5, 2018 2:05:06 PM

The rules that you are attempting to impose does sound like a nice attempt to streamline fraudulent activity, however, for those institutions that are continually notarizing documents, such as title companies, I do see duplicity in record keeping and extra record keeping activities that might seem as strenuous, but for companies that might be making shaky or fraudulent document signage, however few and far between, it seems like a good idea.

The company I work for, we notarize two documents that witness signage certifying that a person lives outside of a taxing area. It is infrequent that I notarize anything other than that and I feel that requiring me to know all the laws required of a notary might not be necessary, however I do respect the Commission and feel that I should know everything that is required of me with regards to the Commission. I have an impartial opinion as to being required to take a test requiring my knowledge of the laws that the Commission requires of a notary. I do think that the duplicity of having my employer keep records of what I notarize is unnecessary.

Thank you for asking for my input
Julie Earp