From: Mary Eberle
To: SoS Rulemaking

Cc: Andrea Gyger; Colorado Voter Group
Subject: Comments on proposed election rules
Date: Friday, October 6, 2017 4:46:08 PM

Dear Secretary of State Williams,

Thank you for taking comments on the election rules. Here are just a few.

- 1. P. 1, lines 8-11: This rule seems to make it easy to admit a fraudulent voter to SCORE. Please think of a workaround!
- 2. P. 3, lines 24-29: For best election integrity, SCORE should be updated only with signatures made on paper in front of a government official.
- 3. P. 3, line 34: The rule on comparison audit is 25.1.5, not 25.1.4.
- 4. P. 5, lines 30-31: After "MEMBERS" it would be a best practice to add "of different political affiliation."
- 5. P. 6, lines 25-26: Such redaction makes it impossible for people to independently verify the election results. Instead, why not require counties to hold back ballots of a given style until at least 10 of that style would be present in a given batch? Perhaps a better way to state this is "scan the ballots of the most numerous styles first." Such a process would be extra work for counties, but the results would benefit the public by maintaining the anonymity of the ballots and by allowing independent counting of the votes.

The counties should also be required to mix received batches of ballots before they are scanned so that there is no possibility of connecting particular CVRs with the voter of the respective ballot. Once a ballot return envelope's signature is accepted and the ballot is determined to be the style sent to the voter (yes, that should be checked), then there is no need for the ballot to ever be reconnected to the ballot return envelope.

With best regards,

Mary

Mary C. Eberle