

Andrea Gyger

From: Diane Dunn [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, May 21, 2017 9:26 PM
To: SoS Rulemaking
Subject: comments on working draft election rules

Here's my input on the draft of proposed rules for elections 8CCR 1505-1

Page 2 Lines 4 thru 8: The wording should be made consistent with the wording on Page 5 Lines 35 thru 38 otherwise there could be confusion.

Page 16 Lines 36 thru 39, I think requiring just two canvass board members should also require they be of different parties would fulfill the expectation of the electorate.

In general I would like to see an appendix of acronyms so they could be referenced while reading the document. As one familiar with the process of election judges and canvass board requirements I could decipher these TLA's or other number of LS's it was easy for me but to expect the reader to read ahead for such definitions is a bit much.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this document.

-Diane Dunn

Diane Dunn, Ailurophile [REDACTED] Boulder County, Colorado