

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 18, 2017 11:00 PM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking

More thoughts SOS:

Some counties are just plain cheap and or have other priorities. SOS can require a county to have so many vote centres but they dont get to tell the county where to put them. We can see a county being a jerk and putting them all in one area and not in others because this other county is going to take care of those voters. Again this may work well in a rural area where the population is much lower but in the metro area; you are going to be creating alot more problems.

This is what is going on now with our library district. We live unincorporated next to Denver and Aurora but its Arapahoe Library District. Denver and Aurora are home rule cities so they can decide for themselves how they pay for things ; what they pay for things; etc and if they chose to not fund this but the citizens want it; well the citizens are going to go elsewhere to get services and the boards for library districts are appointed; not elected so thay can chose to give away the store and there is nothing the citizens can do until they get an elected library board for most of our districts. This allows us to put in office who is going to look out for our interests; and not for everyone else. This is how we see your proposed rule to share vote centres. No problem in the rural areas but there is a problem in the 8 county metro area because of the number of people involved and also maybe El paso / Pueblo / Mesa counties.

This is what we have with our library since we got her after a wait of 28 years. Too many non residents hogging up our library and paying nothing! You are going to give us the same with the vote centres. This also means you could be denying people the ability to vote.

Second issue: 305

Under article 7 section 8; no part of the ballot can be known and associated with so and so. We watched Mr Williams and others on Next talk about how its the right of a citizen to know so and so voted in an election. You can say so and so voted all you want but once you mark on a ballot and in the state wide voter base; we wanted this party or that; this ballot is no longer secret. Thats the issue here., That is why you are going to be challenged in court and in case you missed it; SCOTUS declined to take both the MT and HI cases on open primaries so they dont have a problem right now with open primaries. The issue then becomes; you keeping those ballots secret and you doing this in a cost effective manner. We cant even begin to know which one is the most cost effective but we can tell you its going to cost s mint to send out these ballots now.

Thank you.

JM Fay