

Andrea Gyger

From: Michael Day [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, May 18, 2017 11:00 AM
To: SoS Rulemaking
Subject: RE: Comments - working draft election rules

To: Secret of State Wayne W. Williams

Dear Sir,

Please consider my comments as information received in anticipation of rulemaking to support the development of the proposed draft rule concerning elections.

I have read through the working draft, attachment one and attachment two. I submit the following questions, concerns and comments for your consideration and review:

1. Working draft election rules:

Rule 1.1.34 Property owner ballot:

Question: Does this mean if I own a piece of property in a district other than the district of my primary residence, I will now be able to have a voice in my vote within the district of that property with regard to measures and or elections specific to that district?

If Yes:

Considering that the outcome of such measures or elections would have a direct impact on my property with regard to my interest in such a property, I would consider this rule to be of great benefit to the voice and vote of the people.

If No:

Can this be explained further?

2. Attachment One: Proposition 107 and 108

Comment: In my opinion, Option 1 is the best method to ensure integrity and the most cost effective for processing.

3. Attachment Two: Potential cross-jurisdictional pilot:

Question: Why would a voter need or want to travel outside of their respective county to cast their vote?

It is unclear to me what the Secretary of State seeks to achieve with this pilot program. It further seems to me that such an agreement between counties would create an additional expense to the taxpayers to facilitate such an ability.

Thank you for all your hard work developing these new election rules. The voice and the vote of the people should always be preserved and protected.

Respectfully Submitted,

Michael C. Day
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

