

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 12, 2014 10:32 PM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** I SUPPORT proposed rule 7.2.6

Jennifer Raiffie  
9360 Palo Verde Street  
Thornton, CO 80229-3873

August 13, 2014

CO Sec. of State Scott Gessler

CO Sec. of State Scott Gessler:

I am writing to oppose the proposed rule 7.2.6.

As a Colorado voter it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure.

I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities.

Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is MOST appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom I give my ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse.

Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There should be no reason why an eligible voter opposes having to swear that I have marked my ballot in private unless they are not doing so.

This rule must stand.

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 not be adopted.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Raiffie



## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 12, 2014 3:52 PM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** I SUPPORT proposed rule 7.2.6

Glenn Strouhal  
14172 E Baltic Pl  
Aurora, CO 80014-1407

August 12, 2014

CO Sec. of State Scott Gessler

CO Sec. of State Scott Gessler:

I am writing to oppose the proposed rule 7.2.6.

I SUPPORT proposed rule 7.2.6. I am re-using this ACLU "Take Action" form to show that the members of this organization are not 100% behind the ACLU's position. Incidentally this email form includes required opposition text at the top and bottom which in this case can be ignored and also provides a chilling window into how the organization really views citizen's right to express political beliefs.

I disagree with the ACLU that it is a burden to mark a ballot in private and disclose anyone who delivers it for you. Elections need to be kept secure. In this country we use secret ballots so people can vote privately without fear. Changing that opens the door to abuse by activists and fraudsters who could monitor and influence the voting of those they claim to be assisting.

By the same token it is important to establish a chain of custody for ballots. There needs to be some accountability in the case of potentially tampered ballots.

I SUPPORT proposed rule 7.2.6 and request that it be adopted. Please ignore the following "required" message below.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 not be adopted.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Glenn Strouhal

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** Larry Bodley [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 13, 2014 3:10 PM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** Aug. 15 hearing

As a Colorado voter it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure.

I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is MOST appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse.

Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should oppose having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private unless they are not doing so. This rule must stand.

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Sincerely,

Laurence L. Bodley

Douglas County  
[REDACTED]

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** A Classic Find [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 13, 2014 10:05 AM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** Request Adoption of rule 7.2.6

To Whom It May Concern,

As a Colorado voter it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure.

I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is MOST appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse.

Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should oppose having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private unless they are not doing so. This rule must stand.

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Linda J. Feher

Douglas County, CO

[REDACTED]

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** Douglas Gardner [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 13, 2014 8:00 AM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** Rule 7.2.6

As a Colorado voter it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure....  
I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is MOST appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse.

Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should oppose having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private unless they are not doing so. This rule must stand. None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Douglas E. Gardner  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**Andrea Gyger**

---

**From:** crofootski . [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 13, 2014 8:54 AM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking

As a Colorado voter it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure.

I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is MOST appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse.

Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should oppose having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private unless they are not doing so. This rule must stand.

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Chryss Guiler

El Paso County

[REDACTED]





## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** sylvia keiser [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 13, 2014 12:20 PM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** Rule 7.2.6

As a Colorado voter it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure.

I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is MOST appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse. Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should oppose having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private unless they are not doing so. This rule must stand.

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Sylvia J. Keiser  
Adams County  
[REDACTED]

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** Jennifer [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 13, 2014 2:57 PM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** Proposed Rule 7.2.6

As a Colorado voter, poll watcher, election judge, and canvas board member it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure. This email is being sent August 13, 2014 prior to the close of the business day before the comment period is closed.

**I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state.** Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process. This is a HUGE issue in Democrat controlled Pueblo County where ballot harvesting has become an art. Hundreds of mail in ballots are "returned to sender," tossed into the trash under PO boxes and we have no idea what happens to those. Are they filled in by a ballot harvester and then counted as legitimate votes? It would not surprise me in the least having lived here for 3 decades.

**Should somebody need such assistance,** it is MOST appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse.

**Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory,** thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges. This is unacceptable.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should oppose having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private unless they are not doing so. **This rule must stand.**

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

**I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.**

Jennifer Lorensen

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Pueblo County

[REDACTED]

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** Chesley Miller [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 13, 2014 12:35 PM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** mail in ballots

As a Colorado voter it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure.

I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is MOST appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse.

Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should oppose having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private unless they are not doing so. This rule must stand.

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Chesley Miller

El Paso County

[REDACTED]

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** Allison Moore [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 13, 2014 10:42 AM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** Maintain voter integrity

Dear Secretary Gessler,

As a Colorado voter it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure.

I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is MOST appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse.

Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should oppose having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private unless they are not doing so. This rule must stand.

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Hoping for your favorable response,

We NEED voting integrity!

Allison Moore

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** Guy Pacot [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 13, 2014 7:33 AM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

As a Colorado voter it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure.

I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is MOST appropriate and necessary to to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse.

Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should opposes having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private unless they are not doing so. This rule must stand.

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Guy Pacot

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Summit County, Colorado  
[REDACTED]

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** Julie M. Scott [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 13, 2014 1:45 AM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** Integrity of Colorado votes - adopt rule 7.2.6

As a Colorado voter it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure.

I am **not** in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is **most** appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse.

Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should oppose having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private unless they are not doing so. This rule must stand.

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Mrs. Julie M. Scott  
Adams County  
[REDACTED]

*"Since the fear of the Lord is the beginning of wisdom, anti-God teaching can only lead to foolishness."  
- Ruth Beechick*

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** Sherea Spalding [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 13, 2014 7:42 PM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** keep the integrity of our votes!

As a Colorado voter it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure. I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is MOST appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse. Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should oppose having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private unless they are not doing so. This rule must stand.

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Sherea Spalding  
Arapahoe County  
[REDACTED]

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** Janice Taylor [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 13, 2014 8:10 AM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** Election Rulemaking Hearing of August 15

Scott Gessler  
Colorado Secretary of State

As a Colorado voter, it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure.

**I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state.** Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is MOST appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse.

Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should oppose having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private, unless they are not doing so. This rule must stand.

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Janice Taylor  
El Paso County

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]



## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** tim.ward123 [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 13, 2014 8:34 PM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** I request rule 7.2.6 be adopted

As a co voter itis important to me that voting is convenient and secure. I am not in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state.  
I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy Note® II, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** Susan Luenser [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 14, 2014 7:56 AM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** Adopt proposed rule 7.2.6

Dear Secretary of State:

I am a Colorado voter and served as an election judge in El Paso County in 2012. It is important to me that voting be both convenient and secure.

I am not in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. It invites corruption of the election process. For example, allowing others to harvest ballots encourages fraudulent behavior that would compromise my vote and the entire process.

If someone needs another person to deliver their ballot, it is necessary to voting process integrity that the voter sign an affirmation that he/she has voted in private, such as proposed by rule 7.2.6.

It is also necessary that the person delivering the ballot be named, which proposed rule 7.2.6 also addresses.

Although it may be too late for this rule-making session, please consider for future rule-making the following proposal to improve chain-of-custody between a newly completed ballot and its official receipt by election officials.

I propose that the person delivering more than one completed ballot be required to do so at a voter services center. Election officials at the center should be required to log the signature of the delivery person and verify the signature against that of registered voters in the state database before the ballots can be accepted. The proposed rule should require only registered voters in Colorado authorization to handle the ballots being delivered.

If the person that delivers a batch of ballots cannot be verified as a registered Colorado voter, then election officials should accept the ballots as provisional. Election officials would then be required to contact each of the voters in the batch of ballots to verify his/her affirmation per proposed rule 7.2.6. If verified, the ballot would change from provisional to acceptable.

In summary, please adopt proposed rule 7.2.6, and, for future rule-making, please consider improving integrity of chain-of-custody in the process of harvesting and delivering batches of completed ballots.

Sincerely,

Susan Luenser  
El Paso County  
[REDACTED]

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** luke wagner [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 14, 2014 7:58 AM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** Proposed rule 7.2.6

As a Colorado voter it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure. I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is MOST appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse.

Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should oppose having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private unless they are not doing so. This rule must stand.

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Luke Wagner  
Larimer County  
[REDACTED]

## Andrea Gyger

---

**From:** Irina Lowery [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, August 15, 2014 2:21 PM  
**To:** SoS Rulemaking  
**Subject:** Suggester Voting Rule Change

**Categories:** Red Category

As a Colorado voter it is important to me that voting is convenient and secure.

I am NOT in favor of delivering a mail ballot to every registered voter in the state. Voting is a right and it comes with certain responsibilities. Allowing others to harvest ballots encourages and opens the door to fraudulent behavior that will compromise the integrity of my vote and the entire process.

Should somebody need such assistance, it is MOST appropriate and necessary to disclose the name of the person to whom they give their ballot for return so we can secure the chain of custody and monitor for abuse.

Some safeguards in place are no longer mandatory, thanks to recent legislation, including signature checks by election judges.

There is no legitimate reason why an eligible voter should oppose having to swear that they have marked their ballot in private unless they are not doing so. This rule must stand.

None of these provisions interfere with one's fundamental right to vote, but it does jeopardize the integrity of votes cast by others.

I request that proposed rule 7.2.6 be adopted.

Irina Lowery

El Paso County  
[REDACTED]