

RECEIVED

FEB 12 2020

S. WARD  
4:54 P.M.

COLORADO TITLE SETTING BOARD

Colorado Secretary of State

IN THE MATTER OF THE BALLOT TITLE AND SUBMISSION CLAUSE FOR  
INITIATIVE 2019-2020 #174

---

MOTION FOR REHEARING

---

On behalf of John Justman, a registered elector in Mesa County, the undersigned counsel hereby submits this Motion for rehearing pursuant to C.R.S. 1-40-107 (1)(a)(I). As grounds therefore objector states the following:

**A. BACKGROUND**

Initiative 2019-2020 #174 closely tracks initiative 2017-2018 #97. It differs in that it designates additional “vulnerable” areas. The Colorado electorate had an opportunity to vote on an almost identical measure in 2018. It was soundly defeated by a margin of 55.12% to 44.88%. Despite this ten-point loss and a sweeping overhaul of oil and gas regulations enacted by the general assembly in the 2019 session, the proponents are back with much the same initiative.

In 2018 the title set was as follows:

A change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith, changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet away from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure, the state, or a local government and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement.

After a hearing on February 5, 2020 the title board set the title for Initiative 2019-2020 #174:

A change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith, changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement.

While single subject and the fiscal impact of initiative #97 was appealed in 2018, the board cannot rely on that as precedent as the Objector in this action is alleging unique grounds. Specifically, that the dramatic changes to oil and gas regulations in Colorado over the past year cannot now be set aside in a single initiative.

**B. PROPOSED INITIATIVE #174 CONTAINS MULTIPLE SUBJECTS IN VIOLATION OF THE SINGLE-SUBJECT REQUIREMENT.**

1. Initiative #174 Contains Multiple Subjects Separate from the Measure's Setback Requirement.
  - a. The initiative takes away local government authority granted in Senate Bill 19-181 to regulate oil and gas operations in a reasonable manner in the following areas:
    - i. Land use;
    - ii. Location and siting;
    - iii. Impacts to public facilities and services;
    - iv. Water quality and source, noise, vibration, odor, light, dust, emissions and air quality, land disturbance, reclamation procedures, cultural resources, emergency preparedness and coordination with first responders, security, and traffic and transportation impacts;
    - v. financial securities, indemnification, and insurance as appropriate to ensure compliance with the regulations of the local government;
    - vi. all other nuisance-type effects of oil and gas development; and
    - vii. Regulate the use of land and protection of the environment<sup>1</sup>;
  - b. The initiative's setback requirement is an effective ban on new and gas development;
  - c. The initiative's setback requirement constitutes a taking of property;
  - d. The initiative alters the relationship of local governments to control land use within its borders;
  - e. The initiative removes the state's power to permit an efficient rate of production, subject to the protection of public health, safety, and welfare, the environment and wildlife resources;
  - f. The initiative repeals local ordinances that have been enacted in response to SB 19-181; and
  - g. The initiative repeals administrative rules that have been passed by the state in response to SB 19-181.

**C. THE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT AND ABSTRACT FAIL TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 1-40-105.5 BY**

---

<sup>1</sup> C.R.S. 29-20-104.

**PROVIDING VOTERS WITH NO MEANINGFUL INFORMATION AND  
ARE MISLEADING AND PREJUDICIAL.**

The fiscal impact statement and abstract fail to provide the information required by statute. The objector challenges the abstract on three grounds:

1. Abstracts may not be indeterminate; they must provide some meaningful information;
2. The abstract does not comply with C.R.S. 1-4-105.5; and
3. The abstract is misleading and prejudicial.

Wherefore the Objector requests that this Motion for Rehearing be granted and rehearing set pursuant to C.R.S. 1-40-107(1)

Submitted this 12<sup>th</sup> day of February 2020.

MAVEN LAW GROUP

*/s/ Suzanne Staiert*

Suzanne Staiert, #23411

1800 Glenarm Place, Suite 950

Denver, CO 80202

(303)263-0844

sstaiert@mavenlawgroup.com