RECEIVED

MAR 2 6 2014

BEFORE THE COLORADO BALLOT TITLE SETTING BOARD

4:00 P.M. S. WARD

STACY CARPENTER, Objector

VS.

CHRIS FORSYTH and LAURIE FORSYTH, Proponents.

MOTION FOR REHEARING ON INITIATIVE 2013-2014 #79

Stacy Carpenter, through her legal counsel, Recht Kornfeld P.C., objects to the Title Board's title and ballot title and submission clause set for Initiative 2013-14 #79 ("Duties of the Independent Ethics Commission").

On March 19, 2014, the Board set the following ballot title and submission clause:

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution increasing the number of "Yes" votes required for a justice or judge to be retained in office from a simple majority to a two-thirds majority in the November 4, 2014, general election, and in every election thereafter?

ADVISORY GROUNDS FOR RECONSIDERATION

- A. This initiative violates the requirement for a single subject in a ballot initiative, Colo. Const. art. V, sec. 1(5.5), because the initiative contains separate and distinct subjects of:
 - 1. Increasing the required retention vote for state judges; and
 - Changing the control of home rule jurisdictions over the retention and tenure of their municipal judges, including Denver County judges. See Colo. Const., art. XX, sec. 6(c) (granting home rule cities and towns "power to legislate upon, provide, regulate, conduct and control ... [t]he creation of municipal courts; the definition and regulation of the jurisdiction, powers, and duties thereof, and the election or appointment of the officers thereof"); art. VI, sec. 26; In re Title, Ballot Title and Submission Clause, and Summary for Initiative 1997-98 #95, 960 P.2d 1204, 1209 (Colo. 1998) (initiative addresses a separate subject "to the extent that it seeks to deprive home rule cities of their power over the election,

appointment and retention of municipal court judges"), citing *People v. Horan*, 556 P.2d 1217, 1218 (Colo. 1976) (Article XX, Section 6 allows home rule cities to "specify the terms under which a municipal judge holds his office").

B. Contrary to the statutory requirements for a ballot title that is not confusing, not misleading, and is reflective of the intent of the proponents, C.R.S. §§ 1-40-106, -107, the Board has erred because the reference to "every election" is misleading, as retention elections are – even under the initiative – limited to "general elections."

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 26th day of March, 2014.

RECHT KORNFELD, PA

Mark Grueskin

1600 Stout Street, Suite 1000

Denver, CO 80202

Phone: 303-573-1900

Email: mark@rechtkornfeld.com

Objector's Address:

Stacy Carpenter 118 Krameria St. Denver, CO 80220

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby affirm that a true and accurate copy of the MOTION FOR REHEARING ON INITIATIVE 2013-2014 #79 of Stacy Carpenter was sent this day, March 26, 2014, via first class U.S. mail, postage pre-paid to the proponents at:

Laurene Wilberg

Chris and Laurie Forsyth 3155 Ingalls St. Wheat Ridge, CO 80214